

SmartSweep: Context-aware Modeling on a Single Image

Yilan Chen
City University of
Hong Kong

Wenlong Meng
Ningbo University

Shi-Qing Xin
Shandong University

Hongbo Fu
City University of
Hong Kong




Figure 1: Starting with an input image (a), users can easily reconstruct the context (b) and create complementary models (c) using our tool. The models are thickened outwards, cut (for aesthetics) and printed without any scaling (d).

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Human-centered computing** → *Interactive systems and tools*;

KEYWORDS

sketch-based modeling, in situ modeling

ACM Reference Format:

Yilan Chen, Wenlong Meng, Shi-Qing Xin, and Hongbo Fu. 2017. SmartSweep: Context-aware Modeling on a Single Image. In *Proceedings of SA '17 Posters, Bangkok, Thailand, November 27-30, 2017*, 2 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3145690.3145736>

1 INTRODUCTION

Creating complementary objects for existing items is common in personal fabrication. However, most supportive systems focus more on 3D inputs [Weichel et al. 2014] and use less 2D information, even though images are easier to obtain than 3D objects in practice. Besides, even with a reference 3D model, it still requires tedious manual work to create a complementary object.

In this paper, we present a new image-based tool to quickly create complementary parts for existing physical objects, which we term *SmartSweep*. The key idea is to detect and utilize the features of existing context to augment the modeling procedure. Therefore, we reconstruct and analyze the context to obtain both its basic geometric information and high-level features like symmetry, and provide specific operations based on them. Our work is similar in spirit to Modeling-in-context [Lau et al. 2010], a system that allows users to create complementary objects by sketching and annotating properties on a photo. However, it only takes the photo as a visual reference without any explicit usage of the image information. In

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

SA '17 Posters, November 27-30, 2017, Bangkok, Thailand

© 2017 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-5405-9/17/11.

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3145690.3145736>

addition, the system requires a complete wire-frame and manual annotations as input, which is tedious and unintuitive. Comparatively, we claim the following advantages of our approach:

- We partly reconstruct the physical object from the reference image, which provides more details to conveniently generate complementary parts with real size.
- The contextual features are automatically detected and utilized in the modeling procedure, which makes our tool smart and convenient to use.

2 METHODOLOGY

Our system is based on sweep modeling, so users only need to create a profile and a trajectory to generate a model. The workflow is as follows. First, users take a photo including a reference object and a fixed-size AR marker, laid on the same flat surface¹ (Figure 1a). Then, users reconstruct the object in 3D by tracing out the profile and sweeping (Figure 1b). Our system analyses the reconstructed context and provides a few operations based on the detected features, with which users can easily create complementary parts (Figure 1c). As more parts are added, the contextual features are continually updated to support the subsequent operations. Figure 1d shows the fabricated result.

2.1 Context Reconstruction

We adopt the 3-Sweep [Chen et al. 2013] method for reconstruction, with a few modifications to increase its precision. For example, it sets the center of profile as the middle of two contour points, while the actual location should be farther due to perspective viewing. Since most man-made objects have a straight central axis, we simply fix the central axis and only adjust the diameter. Besides, we snap the profile to the image for higher accuracy. The challenges therein involve 1) finding the correct image contours for snapping; 2) balancing the matching of 2D projection and the regularity of the resulted 3D shape. We take an iterative optimization approach.

¹In order to calculate the real size of the object, we constrain the base profile of the object to be coplanar or parallel with the marker's X-Y plane.




Figure 2: The pipeline for modeling, showing the operations (in white) and associated contextual features (in blue).

Taking generalized cylinders for example, we first use the input 2D ellipse to find corresponding image edges, and define a 3D circle by back-projecting the 2D center and major axis to the X-Y plane. We then iteratively translate and scale the 3D circle to minimize the error between its 2D projection and the corresponding image edges. This method can be generalized to any profile shapes as long as 3D regularity exists. By default, the starting profile is projected on the X-Y plane. If the ending profile is on the X-Y plane instead, we compute the screen coordinates of the ending profile and back-project it to the X-Y plane to get the real position and the scale ratio to post-transform the model.

2.2 3D Curve Creation and Analysis

Since the new object is highly related to the reference object, we assume that its profile and trajectory are likely to lie on some existing plane or model surface. Therefore, we create 3D curves by projecting 2D strokes onto a selected 3D plane or the underlying geometry. Since sweep trajectory is generally perpendicular to the profile, we also provide a shortcut to align the camera with profile's plane, from which view users can draw the trajectory with less perspective distortion.

The generated 3D curves, however, are usually distorted because of free-hand input or perspective drawing. Inspired by works on sketch beautification, we treat planar curves as 2D curves on their base plane and rectify them with a few geometric constraints: 1) endpoint-snapping, where the endpoints will be joined if they are close enough; 2) perpendicularity of polyline segments, where the inner angles of polyline are set as 90 degrees if they are nearly right angles; 3) segment length equality, where the polyline segments are equalized if their length are close. In addition to these individual rectification, we also exploit the context, namely the previously created curves, to beautify the new inputs, which will be explained below. Based on the rectified result, we check if the curve has rotational or reflectional symmetry, which provides high-level information of the shape.

2.3 Context-aware Operations

Figure 2 illustrates the operations for modeling, including:

- **Sketch Beautification** Given a new curve, we identify the existing curves on the same plane or on a parallel plane, compute the distance of their centers, and measure their shape similarity using the method of Shao et al. [2011]. If the distance between the curve center and an existing curve is smaller than a threshold, the new curve is aligned. If there exists a curve similar to the new one, we replace the new curve by offsetting the existing curve.



Figure 3: A corner bumper created using our tool.

- **Follow-Surface Extrusion** In addition to conventional sweeping, we also provide an extrusion operation where the profile is swept over the surface of the reference model. In another word, we keep the distance between the profile and the encircled object consistent during sweeping. This allows users to create shell-like supplement interactively.
- **Revolution Axis Proposal** Since the rotational symmetry has been detected during analysis, users can draw a profile near a visualized symmetry axis and build a model through revolution. The profile is created by projecting 2D stroke to the minimum-skew viewplane.

3 RESULTS

Figure 1c shows a cup lid created via revolution, a cup holder created via follow-surface extrusion, and a handle created via conventional sweeping. Figure 3 is another example. We compare the real size and the reconstructed size of the cup in Figure 1 below, which are very close. However, it may sometimes be hard to predict the real size when sketching new models, causing lightly large gap between the cup and the holder (Figure 1d).

	top boundary	bottom boundary	height
real (cm)	22.93	14.14	8.0
reconstructed (cm)	23.27	14.57	8.31

4 FUTURE WORK

We plan to quantitatively evaluate the precision for fabrication and the usability of our system to validate its practicability. We would also like to incorporate multiple objects for complementary object design, like making a cup holder which can be attached to a table.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partially supported by the grants from the Research Grants Council of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China (CityU 11300615 and CityU 11204014), and NSFC (61772016).

REFERENCES

- Tao Chen, Zhe Zhu, Ariel Shamir, Shi-Min Hu, and Daniel Cohen-Or. 2013. 3-sweep: Extracting editable objects from a single photo. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)* 32, 6 (2013), 195.
- Manfred Lau, Greg Saul, Jun Mitani, and Takeo Igarashi. 2010. Modeling-in-context: user design of complementary objects with a single photo. In *Proceedings of the Seventh Sketch-Based Interfaces and Modeling Symposium*. Eurographics Association, 17–24.
- Tianjia Shao, Weiwei Xu, Kangkang Yin, Jingdong Wang, Kun Zhou, and Baining Guo. 2011. Discriminative Sketch-based 3D Model Retrieval via Robust Shape Matching. In *Computer Graphics Forum*, Vol. 30. Wiley Online Library.
- Christian Weichel, Manfred Lau, David Kim, Nicolas Villar, and Hans W Gellersen. 2014. MixFab: a mixed-reality environment for personal fabrication. In *Proceedings of the 32nd annual ACM conference on Human factors in computing systems*. ACM, 3855–3864.